

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,404	07/17/2003	Avinash Malhotra	02-0707/KEL106	1403	
32583	7590 03/16/2006		EXA	EXAMINER	
KELLOGG BROWN & ROOT, INC. 601 JEFFERSON AVENUE			LANGEL	LANGEL, WAYNE A	
	SON AVENUE TX 77002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1754		

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{C}			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/604,404	MALHOTRA ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Wayne Langel	1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
REPLY FILED <u>27 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in concerning the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! Examiner Note: If how 1 is checked, check either how (2) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). con which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to d within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since 7 CFR 41.37(a).			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ecteu ciaims.			
I. ☑ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):	,			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>15-17</u> would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	_	•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-14. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 15-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 19.		n be entered and an explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to provide a			
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: ____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _

Wayne Langel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1754 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly recited limitation in claim 18 that the liquid expander have a work output would require further consideration and possibly search of the prior art.

Claim 19 would be rejoined if claim 18 eventually becomes allowable.